Visioning a Statewide Geotechnical Asset Management Program at PennDOT

Dennis Neff, Bureau of Project Delivery



All instabilities affecting our roadways are equal, but some instabilities are more equal than others.



PENNDOT TAM

FHWA requires: Bridges, Pavements



Other/undermanaged:

retaining walls, roadway signs, traffic signals, guiderail, end treatments, ITS systems, fences, pavement markings, curb ramps, barriers, sound walls, geotechnical assets...



NCHRP Research Report 903 Pre-Publication Draft— Subject to Revision

Geotechnical Asset Management for Transportation Agencies

Volume 1: Research Overview

Shannon & Wilson, Inc. Denver, CO

Spy Pond Partners, LLC Arlington, MA

Iowa State University Ames, IA

University of Missouri Columbia, MO

Submitted September 2018

DISCLAIMEE

The opinions and conclusions expressed or implied in this document are those of the researchers who performed the research. They are not necessarily those of the program sponsors; the Transportation Research Board, or the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. The information contained in this document was taken directly from the submission of the authors. This material has not been edited by the Transportation Research Board.

SPECIAL NOTE: This document is NOT an official publication of the Transportation Research Board or the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. A final, edited version of this document will be released at a later date.

The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD

NCHRP Research Report 903:

Geotechnical Asset
Management for
Transportation Agencies Research Overview &
Implementation Manual

Pre-Publication Draft, September 2018



Geotechnical Assets

<u>ASSETS</u>

Slopes

Embankments

Subgrade

Inclusions

Instrumentation

Data

Knowledge

FAILURES

Landslides

Rockfalls

Sinkholes

Erosion & Scour

Subsidence & Settlement

RISKS

Safety

Service

\$-Cost

Confidence



Phased Development of GAMP

PHASE-1 - Info Gathering

- Survey DGE
- Asset Locations and Types
- Simple Assessment, A=B=C

PHASE-2 - Core Maturity

- GIS Mapping
- Quantitative Risk Rating System

PHASE-3 - Advanced Maturity

- Risk Rating + Benefit-Cost Prioritization
- Rater Training

PHASE-4 - Sustaining

- Routine Site Inspections + Updates
- System Enhancements



Status

GAM Survey of all District Geotechnical Engineers completed June 2019.

- Differences: personnel, geology, assets, rating method
- Scale of statewide slope issues:

Actively unstable... 100's

Marginally stable, less than resilient... 1,000's

Possibly vulnerable... **10,000's**



Personnel

- Leadership support
- A Champion
- Multidisciplinary teams, not "organizational silos"
- Asset Rater instructions, training, and oversight.
- Uniform results from different raters.



Communication

- Visualization tools like GIS Mapping, dashboards.
- Communication strategies for ongoing results.



Time / Dynamics

- Will likely take more **time** than anticipated (VT TAMP, 52-mo.)
- **Re-assessment** of inventory & risks at the right frequency... monthly, quarterly, annually.
- **Life-cycle planning** for geotechnical assets is not a mature practice.



Data

- **Data is a valuable asset**. Plan data collection, maintenance, and governance before data collection efforts begin. 20% of data used 80% of the time.
- Preserves historical asset data.



Analysis

- Robust, understandable, implementable, refine-able
- Risk matrix/algorithm applies to each asset type and into the DOT's performance process
- Generate benefit-cost scenarios
- Consider resiliency
- Subjective assumptions or lack of data undermines the quality of a multi-objective decision analysis (MODA)
- **Investment scenarios.** Demonstrate the long-term consequences by under-investment and failing to keep assets in a state of good repair (SOGR). Illustrate which trade-offs reduce the greatest risks
- New commercial programs/software vs. "home-grown" tools

