**SsoE internal peer review - NIH**

**Principal Investigator(s):**

# Overall Impact

Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the following five scored review criteria, and additional review criteria. An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have major scientific impact.

# Impact score:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Overall Impact or Criterion Strength** | **Score** | **Descriptor** |
|  | 1 | Exceptional |
| High | 2 | Outstanding |
|  | 3 | Excellent |
|  | 4 | Very Good |
| Medium | 5 | Good |
|  | 6 | Satisfactory |
|  | 7 | Fair |
| Low | 8 | Marginal |
|  | 9 | Poor |

# Scored Review Criteria

Reviewers will consider each of the five review criteria below in the determination of scientific and technical merit, and give a separate score (1-9) for each.

|  |
| --- |
| 1. [Significance](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/peer/critiques/rpg.htm#rpg_01) |
| **Strengths**  **Weaknesses** |

|  |
| --- |
| 2. [Investigator(s)](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/peer/critiques/rpg.htm#rpg_02) |
| **Strengths**  **Weaknesses** |

|  |
| --- |
| 3. [Innovation](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/peer/critiques/rpg.htm#rpg_03) |
| **Strengths**      **Weaknesses** |

|  |
| --- |
| 4. [Approach](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/peer/critiques/rpg.htm#rpg_04) |
| **Strengths**      **Weaknesses** |

|  |
| --- |
| 5. [Environment](http://grants.nih.gov/grants/peer/critiques/rpg.htm#rpg_05) |
| **Strengths**      **Weaknesses** |

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

|  |
| --- |
| Summary and recommendation for improvement (scientific, technical, grantsmanship, etc…): |
|  |

**DO YOU RECOMMEND SUBMISSION FOR THE STATED DEADLINE?**

Top of Form

**YES**



Bottom of Form

Top of Form

**NO (If no, please explain why in the additional comments box above)**



Bottom of Form